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Fig. 1. Our NeRF-based parallelized optimization method estimates the camera pose from a monocular RGB image of a novel object. The optimization iteratively updates a set of pose estimates in parallel by backpropagating discrepancies between the observed and rendered image. LEFT: To simplify the display, we show four camera hypotheses at three iterations: initial (red), after the first resample (blue), and final (green). RIGHT: Corresponding renderings of estimated poses (in color) overlaid on the observed image (grayscale).

Abstract—We present a parallelized optimization method based on fast Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) for estimating 6-DoF target poses. Given a single observed RGB image of the target, we can predict the translation and rotation of the camera by minimizing the residual between pixels rendered from a fast NeRF model and pixels in the observed image. We integrate a momentum-based camera extrinsic optimization procedure into Instant Neural Graphics Primitives, a recent exceptionally fast NeRF implementation. By introducing parallel Monte Carlo sampling into the pose estimation task, our method overcomes local minima and improves efficiency in a more extensive search space. We also show the importance of adopting a more robust pixel-based loss function to reduce error. Experiments demonstrate that our method can achieve improved generalization and robustness on both synthetic and real-world benchmarks.

I. INTRODUCTION

6-DoF pose estimation—predicting the 3D position and orientation of an object—is a fundamental step for many tasks, including some in robot manipulation and augmented reality. While RGB-D or point cloud-based methods [1]–[3] have received much attention, monocular RGB-only approaches [4], [5] have great potential for wider applicability and for handling certain material properties that are difficult for depth sensors—such as transparent or dark surfaces.

Research in this area initially focused on instance-level pose estimation, especially as enabled by deep learning [6]–[10]. It assumes that a textured 3D model of the target object is available for training. Such methods have achieved success under different scenarios but suffer from a lack of scalability. Research beyond this limitation considers category-level object pose estimation [5], [11]–[13]. These methods scale better for real-world applications, since a single trained model works for a variety of object instances within a known category. Nevertheless, the effort needed to define and train a model for each category remains a limitation. For more widespread generalizability, it is important to be able to easily estimate poses for arbitrary objects.

The emergence of Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) [14] has the potential to facilitate novel object pose estimation. NeRF and its variants learn generative models of objects from pose-annotated image collections, capturing complex 3D structure and high-fidelity surface details. Recently, iNeRF [15] has been proposed as an analysis-by-synthesis approach for pose estimation built on the concept of inverting a NeRF model. Inspired by iNeRF’s success, this paper further explores the idea of pose estimation via neural radiance field inversion.

A drawback of NeRF is its computational overhead which impacts execution time. To overcome this limitation, we leverage our fast version of NeRF, known as Instant Neural Graphics Primitives (Instant NGP) [16]. Using Instant NGP model inversion provides significant speedups over NeRF. The structure of Instant NGP admits parallel optimization, which is leveraged to overcome issues with local minima and thereby achieve greater robustness than possible with iNeRF. Similar to iNeRF, our pose estimation requires three inputs: a single RGB image with the target, an initial rough pose estimate of the target, and an instant NGP model trained from multiple views of the target.

Considering that a single camera pose is vulnerable to local minima during optimization iterations, we leverage parallelized Monte Carlo sampling. At adaptive intervals, camera pose hypotheses are re-sampled around the hypotheses with the lowest loss. This design alleviates the issue of convergence to local minima and improves efficiency of
search over a more extensive search space.

The gradients of pixel residuals calculated between the rendered model and the target view are backpropagated to generate camera pose updates. Unlike iNeRF where a subsample of a new image is rendered at each iteration, we enable hundreds of thousands of rays to work independently in parallel to accumulate gradient descent updates per camera pose hypothesis. This design dramatically improves the efficiency. Furthermore, we investigate different pixel-based loss functions to identify which approach to quantifying the visual difference between the rendered model and the observed target image best informs the camera pose updates. As shown in the ablation study, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) [17] loss exhibits better robustness to disturbances.

In summary, this work makes the following contributions:

- A parallelized, momentum-based optimization method using NeRF models is proposed to estimate 6-DoF poses from monocular RGB input. The object-specific NeRF model does not require pre-training on large datasets.
- Parallelized Monte Carlo sampling is introduced into the pose estimation task, and we show the importance of pixel-based loss function selection for robustness.
- Quantitative demonstration through synthetic and real-world benchmarks that the proposed method has improved generalization and robustness.

II. RELATED WORKS

**Neural 3D Scene Representation.** Recent works [18]–[20] have investigated representing 3D scenes implicitly with neural networks, where coordinates are sampled and fed into a neural network to produce physical field values across space and time [21]. NeRF [14] is a milestone approach demonstrating that neural scene representations have the capabilities to synthesize photo-realistic views. Since then, significant effort has been put into pushing the boundaries of NeRF. Follow-up works have focused on speeding up the training and inference processes [16], [22], [23], adding support for relighting [24], relaxing the requirement of known camera poses [25], [26], reducing the number of training images [27], extending to dynamic scenes [28], and so on. NeRF also opens up opportunities in the robotics community. Researchers have proposed to use it to represent scenes for visuomotor control [29], reconstruct transparent objects [30], generate training data for pose estimators [31] or dense object descriptors [32], and model 3D object categories [33]. In this work, we aim to follow in their footsteps by applying NeRF directly to the 6-DoF pose estimation task.

**Generalizable 6-DoF Pose Estimation.** Generalizable 6-DoF pose estimation—not limited to any specific target or category—from RGB images has been a long-standing problem in the community. Existing methods tend to share a similar pipeline of two phases: 1) model registration and 2) pose estimation.

Traditional methods [34]–[37] first build a 3D CAD model via commercial scanners or dense 3D reconstruction techniques [38], [39]. They resolve the pose by finding 2D-3D correspondences (via hand-designed features like SIFT [40] or ORB [41]) between the input RGB image and the registered model. However, creating high quality 3D models is not easy, and finding correspondence across a large database (renderings from different viewpoints) can be time-consuming [34]. More recently, several attempts have been made to revisit the object-agnostic pose estimation problem with deep learning. The presumption is that a deep network pretrained on a large dataset can generalize to find correspondence between the query image and the registered model for novel objects. OnePose [42], inspired by visual localization research, proposes to use a graph attention network to aggregate 2D features from different views during the registration phase of structure-from-motion [43]. Then the aggregated 3D descriptor is matched with 2D features from the query view to solve the PnP problem [44]. Similarly, OSOP [45] explores solving the PnP problem with a dense correspondence between the query image and the coordinate map from a pre-built 3D CAD model. On the other hand, Gen6D [46] only needs to register the model with a set of posed images. Following the iterative template matching idea [47], [48], its network takes as input several neighboring registered images closest to the predicted pose and repeatedly refines the result.

While data-driven approaches rely on the generalization of a large training dataset (usually composed of both synthetic & real-world data) [46], iNeRF [15] is an optimization on-the-fly approach free of pretraining. Each new object is first registered by a NeRF model [14], after which iNeRF can optimize the camera pose on the synthesized photo-realistic renderings from NeRF. Although iNeRF’s idea seems promising, there still remain several challenges. The first is the expensive training cost of a NeRF model, which may take hours for just one target. Additionally, iNeRF’s pose update strategy is inefficient, as the accumulation and backpropagation of the loss gradient is performed until a subsample of a new image is rendered. Moreover, the optimization process of a single pose hypothesis is easily trapped in local minima due to outliers. To deal with the aforementioned issues, we propose a more efficient and robust approach leveraging the recent success of Instant NGP [16]. We re-formulate the camera pose representation as the Cartesian product $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{T}(3)$ and integrate the optimization process into the structure of Instant NGP. We also adopt parallelized Monte Carlo sampling to improve robustness to local minima.

III. PRELIMINARIES

NeRF. Given a collection of $N$ RGB images $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^N$, $I_i \in [0, 1]^{H \times W \times 3}$ with known camera poses $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$. NeRF [14] learns to represent a scene as 5D neural radiance fields (spatial location $(x, y, z)$ and viewing direction $(\theta, \phi)$). It can synthesize novel views by querying 5D coordinates along the camera rays and use classic volume rendering techniques to project the output colors and densities into an image.

Instant NGP. To further reduce the training and inference cost of the vanilla NeRF [14], Instant NGP [16] proposes
Fig. 2. Our decomposition of gradients and their momentum into the rotational Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{so}(2) \) and the translational Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{t}(2) \) yields a straight path from the starting pose to the target pose using gradient descent with momentum. In contrast, using the special Euclidean Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{se}(2) \) [15], [25] leads to a suboptimal path due to coupling between translation and rotation. Momentum causes our optimization to overshoot and snap back to the target, all along the straight line.

to adopt a small neural network augmented by a multi-resolution hash table of trainable feature vectors. This structure allows the network to disambiguate hash collisions, making it easy to parallelize on GPUs. The method achieves a combined speedup of several orders of magnitude, allowing its use in time-constrained settings like online training and inference.

**iNeRF’s Formulation.** Assuming the target scene has been trained with a NeRF model parameterized with weight \( \Theta \) and the camera intrinsics are known, iNeRF [15] aims to recover the camera pose \( T \in SE(3) \) of an observed image \( I \) given the weight \( \Theta \):

\[
\hat{T} = \arg \min_{T \in SE(3)} \mathcal{L}(T \mid I, \Theta)
\]

with \( \mathcal{L} \) the loss between the NeRF rendering and the observed image. It uses L2 loss in practice. In the optimization process, iNeRF fixes the NeRF’s weight \( \Theta \) and iteratively updates \( T \) to minimize \( \mathcal{L} \).

IV. APPROACH

**A. Momentum-based Camera Extrinsics Optimization**

The Instant NGP camera pose and gradient representations were modified relative to standard use in NeRF. Critically, this permitted the dynamics of the gradient updates to incorporate momentum-based approaches for enhanced optimization. The section details those changes.

a) Camera Pose Representation: Camera poses consist of a translation component (position) as well as a rotation component (orientation) and are often modeled by the special Euclidean group in 3D, \( SE(3) \). The goal of extrinsics optimization in NeRF [15], [25] is to find those camera poses that minimize the image-space loss by gradient descent. Gradient updates are computed in the special Euclidean Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{se}(3) \), then applied to generate a camera pose update combining rotation and translation. However, using a native \( SE(3)/\mathfrak{se}3 \) representation has a disadvantage: a camera pose update’s center of rotation is not at the camera origin, but on the screw axis, which couples camera position and orientation. This coupling leads to suboptimal gradient updates in certain situations, one of which is depicted in the 2D example of Figure 2.

To decouple the translation and rotation updates, we model camera pose as the Cartesian product \( SO(3) \times T(3) \) (and likewise the respective Lie algebra, \( \mathfrak{so}(3) \times \mathfrak{t}(3) \)), which employs an additive structure on \( T(3) \) and a product structure on \( SO(3) \). Gradient updates will move in straight lines and rotate along geodesic paths over the surface of the sphere, leading to more efficient optimization (see Figure 2).

b) Momentum-Based Optimization: Contemporary momentum-based optimization has empirically demonstrated more effective convergence properties over standard gradient-based approaches, especially when combined with an adaptive update law. For implementation of the optimization update laws, the Adam optimizer with first and second moments is applied [49], for the two sub-spaces. Crucially, the momentum-based updates are cheaply and stably computed from the NeRF implementation. In NeRF, each pixel corresponds to a ray with origin \( o \) and direction \( d \), along which the model is evaluated at \( K \) positions \( p_i = o + t_i \cdot d \) based on moving distances \( t_i \) along the ray. Backpropagation of the loss \( \mathcal{L} \) onto the positions involves cross products with the loss gradient weighted by the distance to the camera, to define the per pixel (ray) update influence

\[
\tau(d) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} t_i d \times \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p_i}. \tag{2}
\]

There is a physical interpretation of this influence based on rigid-body mechanics: as a torque on the camera derived from an external force, generated by the image-based loss function gradient, applied to the ray-derived point as though it were rigidly attached to the camera. Hence, application of this decomposition to the Adam optimizer [49] turns Adam’s first moment into physical momentum for cameras being “pushed around” by the gradients acting as torques—although Adam’s second moment and exponential decay do not have straightforward physical analogues. Since physical systems follow the path of least action, we can infer that the camera follows an efficient path from its starting pose to its optimized pose in the decoupled parameterization.

Fig. 3. A qualitative comparison between iNeRF [15] and our proposed method on both synthetic dataset [14] and real-world dataset [50], where the rendered model under the estimated pose (color) is blended with the observed image (white). We show (a) the initial pose for all the methods while (b)-(d) present the final optimized poses for different approaches.
steps, and we gradually reduce the process. We can employ an L2 loss, we are interested in investigating more loss options to measure the difference between the rendered pixels and the observed ones. Different losses have various convergence properties, which in turn affect the optimization process.

B. Parallelized Monte Carlo Sampling

As the loss function we optimize is non-convex over the 6-DoF space [15], it is easy for a single camera pose hypothesis to be trapped in local minima. Thanks to the computing capacity of Instant NGP [16], we are able to start the optimization from multiple hypotheses simultaneously. However, a simple multi-start idea is inefficient, especially in a large search space, where many hypotheses would be way off during the optimization process. As a result, they cannot contribute to the final optimization but still occupy a lot of computing resources.

We draw inspiration from the particle filtering framework [51]–[53] and propose a simple and effective pose hypothesis update strategy to handle this problem. We divide the optimization process into two phases, 1) free exploration and 2) resampling update. In the first phase, we generate $P_N$ camera pose hypotheses around the start pose, with translation and rotation offsets uniformly sampled in the Euclidean space and $SO(3)$, respectively. The camera pose hypotheses will be optimized independently for $s_1$ steps. This way, some of them can be relatively close to the ground truth. After that, we move to the second phase, where we will compare the losses of all the hypotheses and take them as a reference for the sampling weight. We keep the first $r$ ratio of the hypotheses with the lowest losses and resample hypotheses around them with small offsets. This process will be repeated every $s_2$ steps until the optimization reaches $S$ steps, and we gradually reduce the $r$ ratio each round.

C. Pixel-based RGB Loss

One of the biggest challenges for analysis-by-synthesis pose estimation methods is that the registered model will have different visual surfaces compared to the target view, even rendered with the exact same pose. Many disturbances, including environmental noise, lighting condition changes, and occlusion, may account for this issue. While previous work such as iNeRF [15] follows a common practice to employ an L2 loss, we are interested in investigating more loss options to measure the difference between the rendered pixels and the observed ones. Different losses have various convergence properties, which in turn affect the optimization process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A) NeRF Synthetic</th>
<th>(B) LLFF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
<td><strong>Chair</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iNeRF [15]</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (single)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (multiple)</td>
<td><strong>1.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Considering that our basic NeRF model (Instant NGP [16]) treats individual sampling ray independently, we focus exclusively on pixel-based RGB loss functions in this work. We take seven different losses into consideration as follows.

1) **L1**: L1 is one of the most common choices. It treats errors equally.
2) **L2**: L2 penalizes larger errors and is more tolerant to small ones.
3) **Log L1**: Log L1 loss, as its name suggests, is a log version of the L1 loss. It tries to smooth the convergence curve, especially for large errors.
4) **Relative L2**: It is more sensitive to the cases where target pixel with high intensity is misaligned with a less intense one.
5) **MAPE**: MAPE [17] denotes “Mean absolute percentage error” as an accuracy measure based on the relative percentage of errors. It can also be considered as the L1 equivalent of the “Relative L2” loss. It is scale-independent and places heavier penalty on negative errors.
6) **sMAPE**: sMAPE [54] is the symmetric version of MAPE. It is proposed to fix the asymmetric issue of MAPE. But it may be unstable when both the prediction and the ground truth have a low intensity.
7) **Smooth L1**: Smooth L1 loss [55] is designed to be less sensitive to outliers and can prevent exploding gradients. In practice, we set its beta parameter as 0.1 empirically.

Table I shows the comparison of different losses on the Fern Fortress Horns Room test sets. MAPE loss achieves the best performance across different scenes. This finding echoes the recent exploration of RawNeRF [56] to handle high dynamic range scenes with a specially designed loss function.

D. Implementation Details

In our experiments, the optimization process takes a total of $S = 2560$ steps. For the parallelized Monte Carlo sampling process, we set $P_N = 64$, $s_1$ and $s_2$ as 512 while $r = 0.25$ and it is halved each resampling round. We use the Adam optimizer [49] with learning rates that begin at $3 \times 10^{-3}$ for the translation part and $5 \times 10^{-3}$ for the rotation part, respectively. The learning rates decay exponentially with the base rate as 0.33 and base step as 256 over the course of optimization. The whole process takes between 15 to 20 s depending on the size of the target on a single computer.
V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we demonstrate that our proposed method achieves improved robustness for both synthetic dataset and real-world scene compared to its predecessor iNeRF [15]. We also explore the impact of using different pixel-based RGB losses for the optimization process. These results encourage further investigation to better model the difference between the registered target and the observed view.

A. Synthetic Dataset

**Setting.** NeRF synthetic dataset [14] consists of eight geometrically complex object with no background, including Chair, Drums, Ficus, Hotdog, Lego, Materials, Mic, and Ship. All the objects have been resized to the unit box size and are of complex non-Lambertian materials. Two of them (Ficus and Materials) are rendered from viewpoints sampled on a full sphere while the remaining six are rendered from viewpoints sampled on the upper hemisphere. The dataset provides the camera intrinsic and extrinsic for each rendering as well as the official splits for training/validation/test. For fair comparison, all methods are trained on the training split views and tested on the test split for novel view pose estimation.

For each scene, we randomly choose 5 images from the test split and generate 5 different camera pose initializations. The start pose is initialized by adding disturbance to the ground truth annotation. To be more specific, we first rotate the camera pose around its three axes sequentially by uniformly sampling from [-15, 15] degrees. Then, we translate the camera along the world axes by a random offset within [-0.25, 0.25] units while the dataset has resized the target to [-0.15, 0.15] units following [15].

We compare our proposed method with the state-of-the-art approach iNeRF [15], where “single” and “multiple” denote our proposed without or with parallelized Monte Carlo sampling strategy, respectively. For a fair comparison, we use L2 loss for both of our method and iNeRF. For better accessibility, we use the vanilla NeRF model [14] as iNeRF’s basis. We re-trained the NeRF model [57] for 200k iterations with 64 samples for its coarse network and 128 samples for the fine network while setting the batch size to 4096. At inference time, we optimized iNeRF for 500 steps following its interest resampling strategy. The sampling number and batch size are set the same as training time. In this way, we can make full use of the computing capacity and maximize its performance as noted by iNeRF [15]. The optimization process takes around 145 s for each object.

**Results.** We report the percentage of predicted poses whose error is less than 5 degrees or 0.05 units following [58]. Table I part (A) highlights the performance of our proposed method. We make substantial improvements over its counterpart [15] on all the objects. An example is shown in Figure 4 (a), where the parallel Monte Carlo sampling strategy can achieve better and faster evolution compared with the single pose hypothesis updates. We also observe that some targets, e.g., Mic and Ship, are harder to deal with. Since Mic has a thin body, the optimization cannot work well when its initial rendering under the start pose only has a small overlapping with the observed view. The parallelized Monte Carlo sampling idea is a good solution to help with this issue as some start pose hypotheses will be closer to the ground truth to have a larger overlapping area. On the other hand, our proposed method has more difficulty with Ship. As seen from Figure 5, Ship has more textureless areas (the water part). As a result, a lot of sampling rays will be likely to get a small loss even when the rendered and the observed views are misaligned. The issue becomes more severe when we have multiple hypotheses as the number of sampling rays per hypothesis will decrease under the same computation budget and fewer rays can shoot at the textured part. This challenge encourages further exploration on other importance sampling idea for future work.

B. Real-world Scene

**Setting.** LLFF dataset [50] is of complex real-world scenes captured with a handheld cellphone in a roughly forward-facing manner. Different scenes have images ranging from 20 to 62, while one-eighth of them is held out for the test split. We compare our method against iNeRF [15] on the four selected scenes: Fern, Fortress, Horns, and Room. To speed up the training process of iNeRF’s basic NeRF model [57], the high resolution images are downsampled by a factor of eight before feeding into all evaluated methods.

We adopt a similar procedure to generate start poses as described in Section V-A. Considering that the views far away from the original camera center have too much artifact as all cameras are forward-facing, we change the translation perturbation range to [-0.15, 0.15] units following [15].
Results. We use the same metric in Section V-A to measure the performance. The results in Table II demonstrate that our proposed method with a single camera hypothesis has already achieved improved performance over all the real-world scenes compared with iNeRF [15]. It indicates that our revised gradient policy (decoupled with angular momentum) based on Adam optimizer [49] is helpful on the optimization process. The parallelized Monte Carlo sampling strategy makes it even better as it can help alleviate the issue of trapping into local minima for a single camera pose hypothesis.

C. Ablation Study on Different Pixel-based RGB Losses

Setting. In this experiment, we are interested in the robustness of different pixel-based RGB losses to various errors introduced in the procedure. Since the NeRF synthetic dataset is rendered under a perfect simulation scenario, in addition to the procedure in Section V-A, we simulate different kinds of disturbances on the test split images. They include environmental noise (Gaussian & Poisson), lighting condition difference (brightness change), and missing pixels due to potential occlusion. A sample is shown in Figure 5. The goal is to demonstrate the ability of the loss to handle potential visual difference between the rendered model (trained on the perfect simulation images) and the corrupted observed target image. Similar to Section V-B, we also evaluate different variants on the LLFF dataset [50]. As the training images and observed test image are captured in the same sequence of a specific scene, the visual difference mainly comes from the reconstruction process. We compare seven variants with different pixel-based RGB losses described in Section IV-C.

Results. As we can learn from the results in Table II, our proposed optimization method will differ a lot equipped with different loss functions. The common practice of L2 loss does not perform well compared to other loss options. Relative L2 loss performs best on the synthetic dataset with simulated noise while it is sensitive to the reconstruction error introduced in the real dataset. On the other hand, L1 gets the best results on the real dataset but slightly worse on the synthetic dataset. Overall, MAPE achieves the best balance across two datasets, serving as a better option to deal with various errors.

VI. Conclusion

We have proposed a parallelized optimization method based on Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) for estimating 6-DoF poses with monocular RGB-only input. Our method can perform accurate pose estimation with our momentum-based camera extrinsics optimization integrated into the Instant NGP method. We have demonstrated the advantage of the parallelized Monte Carlo sampling module in dealing with local minima and its improved efficiency in a vast search space. We also show the importance of a more robust pixel-based loss function for various errors. The proposed method achieves improved robustness over both synthetic and real-world datasets. Future work will aim to further improve the speed and better model the visual difference (e.g., light condition difference and potential occlusion) between the registered model and the observed target.
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![Fig. 5. Visualization of the observed view from NeRF synthetic dataset [14]. LEFT: the original test image; RIGHT: the corrupted image with simulated Gaussian & Poisson noise, brightness change, and the missing pixels. Note that the cropped region in the red bounding box (water) is textureless, making it hard to deal with.](image-url)


